```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                        EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                              SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
                                        Case No. 18-20128
 5
     VS.
                                        Hon. Stephen J. Murphy, III
     D-5 ODELL ORTEGA,
 6
 7
                       Defendant.
 8
                                 SENTENCING
 9
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
                        United States District Judge
10
                  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
                        231 West Lafayette Boulevard
11
                         Detroit, Michigan 48226
                        Wednesday, December 5, 2018
12
     APPEARANCES:
13
     For the Plaintiff
14
                                  KEVIN MULCAHY
     United States of America:
                                 U.S. Attorney's Office
                                  211 W. Fort Street
15
                                  Suite 2001
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226
16
                                  313-226-9713
17
     For the Defendant
                                  JOSEPH R. ARNONE
18
     Odell Ortega:
                                  Arnone Law, PLLC
                                  10 South Main Street
19
                                  Suite 403
                                  Mount Clemens, Michigan 48043
                                  586-777-7720
20
21
22
23
         To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:
              Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC
24
                          Official Court Reporter
                 (313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com
25
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Page
3	SENTENCING:
4	Allocution by Mr. Arnone
5	Allocution by Mr. Mulcahy
6	Sentence of the Court
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
12	<u>Identification</u> <u>Offered</u> <u>Received</u>
13	NONE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
              Wednesday, December 5, 2018
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 3:32 p.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
              THE CLERK: Court calls Case No. 18-10128, United
 6
     States of America versus Odell Ortega.
 7
              Counsel, state your appearances.
 8
 9
              MR. ARNONE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May it
     please the Court, Joseph Arnone on behalf of Mr. Ortega.
10
11
              THE COURT: Greetings, Mr. Arnone.
              MR. MULCAHY: Good afternoon again, Your Honor.
12
     Kevin Mulcahy for the United States.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Mulcahy.
              And let me turn initially to Mr. Ortega, the
15
     defendant, and I'll ask you, sir, whether or not you have had
16
     an opportunity to go over the Pre-Sentence Report in the case
17
18
     with your lawyer and to discuss it and any objections that were
     made to it.
19
               DEFENDANT ORTEGA: Yes, I've had a chance to review
20
21
     it and we have no objections, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
22
23
     defense nor the government filed objections to the report.
24
               Is there anything you'd like to say at this time, Mr.
25
     Arnone, as to the appropriate -- or excuse me, as to the
```

```
modification, correction, deletion or any other errors in the
 1
 2
     probation officer's report that you haven't already addressed?
              MR. ARNONE: No, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Mulcahy?
 4
              MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor.
 5
                          Okay. The probation officer's
 6
              THE COURT:
 7
     Pre-Sentence Report then will be factually considered the
 8
     findings of the Court for purposes of this hearing only.
                                                                The
 9
     Offense Level is 43, the Criminal History Category is I.
                                                                That
     results in an advisory guideline range of life in prison.
                                                                 That
10
11
     matches up with the Plea Agreement provision.
12
     recognize the government has a different sentence memorandum or
     a sentence recommendation which they will get to in one moment.
13
               There is no forfeiture relevant to this defendant.
14
              Mr. Mulcahy will state the restitution amount when he
15
16
     takes the microphone and addresses the Court.
17
               The victims of the crime committed by Mr. Ortega have
18
     spoken to the Court at length earlier today and were also heard
     in the context of a lengthy victim impact statement and a
19
     number of addenda to the government's sentence memoranda which
20
21
     Mr. Ortega and all the other defendants in the case have been
22
     provided with.
23
              Fine would be superfluous in light of special
     assessments and restitution, and given defendant's limited
24
25
     financial resources, I will not order one.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
And so therefore it is time now for me to recognize
Mr. Arnone on behalf of Mr. Ortega for any remarks he'd like to
make on behalf of his client. I do note the preparation and
filing on November 29 of a fine sentence memorandum from Mr.
Arnone. I'm familiar with that and happy to hear anything else
you'd like to say now. Go right ahead.
         MR. ARNONE: Thank you, Your Honor.
         Your Honor has touched on a great deal of topics that
I had planned to address in my sentencing, so you've cut my
time to speak down greatly, Judge.
         THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm happy to hear that.
ahead.
                      I ask that you don't hold that as a
         MR. ARNONE:
reflection as to the severity of this case or how my client
views it because the Court has made a very interesting inquiry,
which is why defendants with no contact with the criminal
justice system who appear to have an otherwise normal
upbringing would engage in this.
         I've spoken with my client in great detail about this
and I've heard words thrown around like addiction. This, in my
client's own admission, is not just an addiction, Judge; it's a
sickness.
         THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
         MR. ARNONE: And I have tried to distinguish him from
the other defendants who have been sentenced here today, and
```

one -- one factor does stand out, which is he does not stand before the Court asking that he -- or recognizing that he is responsible for just the harm that he has committed. He understands that he has participated in an enterprise and that together they are all responsible for the immeasurable amount of harm that occurred in this case. That is about as plain and as blunt as I can put it, Judge.

And for someone who, as you I'm sure have noticed from the letters that were written by his family, this is a person who was brought up with morals and with decency and who knew better, and the only way that we can come to some kind of rationalization as to how this occurred was that he disregarded the harm that was going to occur.

And I have since this case -- since being assigned to this case, I've recognized signs of an acceptance of that harm not just to the young girls that he spoke with in chat rooms but the other victims in this case: the parents, the friends, the teachers. All of them are recognized by Mr. Ortega as victims of his crimes, and I think he never had any clue how far his net was going to be cast when he engaged in this conduct.

And so that comprehension leads him to a great deal of remorse and that is going to cause him pain. It is in no way, shape or form comparable to the pain that the victims incurred, but it is put forth as an acknowledgement in the

hopes that if it is even just a drop of closure in the canyon of harm that has occurred here, I believe that it merits some mentioning, because he will suffer, though nowhere near what he should in the eyes of the victims. And Your Honor, whatever harm befalls him in prison he will know will be the result of the harm that he has committed. That he is not remorseful for his apprehension, he is not remorseful because he broke the law. He is remorseful for the harm that has occurred.

And that is the only true reflection of I think what merits a departure from the guideline or the advisory guideline range short of any sort of disparity of sentencing, and I won't go through that because I've addressed it in my sentencing memorandum.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. ARNONE: But, Your Honor, that is really where I see my client is making a genuine acceptance of responsibility and an acknowledgement, a true acknowledgement of the harm that occurred as a result of these crimes. This is not a child pornography case, this is a Child Exploitation Enterprise case. And I do feel that that distinguishes my client in this case because he has made that reconciliation and he will live with it for rest of his life. The harm that he has caused his victims, the harm that he has caused his family, the harm that has occurred, he will never know the fullest -- full extent of that, and I think he understands that portion of it, Judge, and

```
so I think it makes his acceptance of responsibility truly genuine.
```

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well said. Thank you very much, Mr.

Arnone, and thanks again for your representation and hard work on the file as well.

Now, Mr. Ortega, of course you have the opportunity to speak to the Court on your own behalf as to anything you'd like to say in addition to the sentencing memorandum or to the letters that I've read, and I'd be very happy to hear from you now. Go right ahead.

DEFENDANT ORTEGA: Greetings, Your Honor. My name is Odell Arturo Ortega and I confess that I am guilty of the charge that is being filed against me. I engaged in a criminal enterprise to coerce and entice minors for the purpose of creating child pornography, and I've thought of how to put to words what exactly it is that led me to engage in this despicable activity. I believe that perhaps through understanding, it may be a building block towards helping the survivors of this crime just rebuild their lives. So I -- I thought of what it is that exactly led me to -- to commit these hideous crimes.

I can't claim ignorance because I was fully aware of the fact that what I was doing was illegal and morally repulsive. There was never a moment in which I convinced

```
myself that what I was doing was in any way permissible. That is why I kept it hidden, because I was ashamed of my actions and I knew that it was wrong.
```

I can't claim that I wasn't in control of my mental faculties because I never abused drugs or alcohol or any other medication during the time in which I engaged in these activities. I believe myself to be of sound mind and I believe that I was fully cognizant during the times that I engaged in this activity.

I can't claim to have been coerced or misled by anyone because I have a good, loving family who sacrificed everything to provide me with a good life. Nobody forced me to engage in this.

And, Your Honor, I -- I -- I can't claim to have an addiction because an addiction is defined as something that is -- that creates a chemical dependency. I did not have a chemical dependency on -- on pornography.

I can't claim to have a sickness despite the fact that my actions can only be described as those stemming from a sick mind because if a person is sick, you have to hate the sickness and not the disease. To say that I was sick would be to divorce myself from the choice that I had. Every single day for hours on end I had the choice to click on the little X on the type right — top right—hand corner and to not engage. And so to say that I had a sickness is to not accept the fact that

I had a choice.

Every single day was an opportunity at best to report the ongoing crime that I was witnessing and partaking of or, at worst, to not engage in it, and in each instance I chose the selfish path; I chose to engage in it.

Your Honor, I can only account for my actions in the following way. I was perverse, I was corrupt and I was inconscionable [sic]. There was nothing in my past or in my life which could have led to my actions. I am fully to blame. This is something that I want to stress because after hearing the testimony from some of the survivors, one of the things that struck me is their wish to have never entered these websites, their unwillingness to continue to use computers. And I want to stress that it was in no way their fault. They were minors, I was the adult in the situation. It was my responsibility to behave with respect of the law and with respect to human dignity, and in every instance I failed, Your Honor. That is just something that I want to stress, that it is my fault completely and it is not their fault.

I am grateful to the prosecution and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for identifying the victims so that they can be provided with the counseling necessary to rebuild and heal and so that they can be here to have their voices heard. I am eternally grateful for the work that you have done in identifying the survivors and -- and I'm sorry to have given

you such an arduous task. I place my trust in the government completely that they will be afforded any aid in any avenue necessary in rebuilding.

I commend the bravery of the survivors in coming forward and in traveling so far to witness justice be done here today and to ensure that their voices be heard. You showed bravery in stepping forward to take a stand against injustice. Where you were brave, I was a coward. I hid behind a veil of anonymity. You showed honesty in stepping forward and facing the ugly truth of this crime. Where they were honest, I was dishonest. I chose to hide the truth selfishly because I was in fear of the repercussions that would befall me. I should not have had to rely on the strength and on the conviction of people so young and those who were innocent. I knew better.

I'm sorry for every bit of harm that I have inflicted both to the survivors and to their families and to all those who love them. I pray that everything will be restored that I have taken from you, whether it be the peace of mind, your ability to trust in people or the knowledge that you are not at fault. Today you help bring justice not just for yourselves but for the many people who couldn't be here today. You are true superheroes and I thank you.

Your Honor, I am grateful for the opportunity to be corrected. I will serve my time remembering the pain that I have caused to the victims and hoping and praying every single

```
day that everything that I took from them will be restored.
 1
 2
     Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 3
     hold on just a minute, Mr. -- you can come on up, Mr. Mulcahy.
 4
 5
     Let's put aside just for a moment the sentence, the report.
     It's very rare, in fact remarkable, to have an individual make
 6
     a statement like the one you did, okay? It's very rare, if not
 7
 8
     remarkable, for a person to come in and accept complete
 9
     responsibility.
               I'm not one to correct or psychologize with
10
11
     defendants, but I want you to know there was a reason why you
12
     didn't hit the X, okay, and there was psychological dependency
     and other things that were created here, and that's going to be
13
14
     part of the challenge you have to face in terms of correction,
15
     overcoming and getting better.
16
              And you said a number of, again, fairly remarkable
     things about the agents, the prosecutors, the Court and the
17
18
     victims, the types of things we don't hear around here very
     much. But you need to take those noble desires and incentives
19
20
     and pair them with an effort to understand and get better and
21
     learn by what you did, okay, and then you -- you can finish
22
     this out on -- on a winning note.
23
              Do you understand what I'm saying?
              DEFENDANT ORTEGA: I do understand that, Your Honor.
24
25
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ortega,
```

```
appreciate your words.
```

And that does not in any way preclude the sentencing recommendation of the United States or anything that I want to do with sentencing, but it does react in some direct way to the rather extraordinary remarks that Mr. Ortega just made.

Go right ahead, Mr. Mulcahy.

MR. MULCAHY: Thank you, Your Honor.

I concur with the Court that Mr. Ortega has shown true remorse here and some of his words are -- are very impactful.

Also impactful obviously is the crimes that he has committed. Mr. Ortega is -- is a different type of defendant than some of the others. His conduct was extraordinary even among this group. We've placed him with the sentencing recommendation of 50 years, which puts him right at the top or, excuse me, near the top, and we do so for good reasons. Like his counterparts, he was a talker on the website, he spoke with the girls. He used awful language, he asked them to do awful things, and I won't go over those again. He was also a hunter like several of the other gentlemen that have been sentenced here today and will be tomorrow.

But I told you at the beginning that he was different, and he was different for a couple of reasons. One is he was the recorder for the group, and not necessarily just for the group but for his own sexual interests.

```
The recovery of the devices from Mr. Ortega's home led to a review that was staggering for the FBI. We noted in our sentencing memorandum that there were over -- almost 5,000 child pornography videos, more than 20,000 images, and that if someone were to sit down and watch all of the child pornography videos on Mr. Ortega's devices, it would take them more than 50 days.
```

But what I neglected to include was that those are child pornography videos. In other words, they meet the strict definition of child pornography by the -- that Congress has laid out. But there were more than -- almost 3,000 hours of additional videos that would not meet the definition of child pornography but would certainly be child exploitive. These would be videos of the group talking with girls and asking them to let's say strip down to their underwear or maybe remove their bra or something that would not amount to child pornography as defined by Congress but is certainly exploitive. Those videos, if someone were to sit and watch them on a 24 hours a day, would take more than 120 days to watch. So Mr. Ortega's collection was astounding in its volume.

It was also meticulously organized as we put in our sentencing memo, organized by girl's name and sexual act engaged in, dates, all kinds of information. For -- for some victims he even put the real city that these girls lived in as sort of I guess to memorialize that.

```
Sentencing • Wednesday, December 5, 2018
```

```
Well, he actually met up with a victim,
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
     right?
             I mean --
              MR. MULCAHY: That is correct, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: -- are you going to say anything about
 4
 5
     that? Go ahead.
              MR. MULCAHY: There was -- Mr. Ortega is different in
 6
     another way in that unlike virtually anyone else in the group,
 7
 8
     he met up with -- with a particular victim. This is Minor
 9
     Victim 10. Now, to be clear, he met up with her after she
     turned the age of 18.
10
11
              THE COURT: Right.
              MR. MULCAHY: But she was victimized by this group
12
     while she was underage. He met up with her, paid for the hotel
13
14
     room that she came to when she came to Miami that they stayed
     together in. He had such a special relationship with her that
15
     in the folder of files that contained Minor Victim 10's name,
16
     there was -- it was full of material from various websites,
17
     full of child pornography of this victim, and he even had some
18
     files that he called "Nude for Me." In other words, these were
19
     specially made by Minor Victim 10 for Mr. Ortega.
20
21
               So close were the two of them that Mr. Ortega, in
22
     what is a tragic irony, wrote a letter of support so that she
23
     could get a therapy dog, a therapy dog she needs of course
24
     because of the actions of Mr. Ortega and his friends.
25
              Meeting up with this victim takes him to a level
```

different than -- than some of the others. But that was not
the only victim. It was the only victim met up with, but there
were other victims that he had a special relationship with.

Minor Victim 21 whose letter the Court heard earlier this
morning remembered him so much that she said, "I needed to see
the name Odell Ortega." You see, Mr. Ortega bought her a
specific lingerie outfit and asked her to wear it and take
pictures and videos of that, which she did. So there was again
a significant relationship there.

Earlier today, Your Honor, we told you that Minor
Victim 18 is in the courtroom with her mother and we read a
brief statement on -- on her behalf. She did not come forward
but I read a brief statement on her behalf. Having spent the

day here, Your Honor, Minor Victim 18's mother wanted to say

some words that were specific about Mr. Ortega, and those had

to do with the effect of Mr. Ortega's actions in particular.

You see, Mr. Ortega actually paid money to Minor Victim 18 so

that she would produce child pornography for him.

Minor Victim 18's mother reports that it has -- this crime has wreaked havoc on her family, that she has missed countless school functions, meaning Minor Victim 18, and that they have bought her a variety of pets to help her cope and to be sort of there for her at all times even when they can't be.

So the effect I know on the victims the Court has heard, and I certainly appreciate all that the Court has done.

```
But as Mr. Ortega in particular, I think that the views of Minor Victim 18's mother are important to share here today.
```

So I indicated earlier that he was -- he was a -- different. That was because of his role as a recorder and because as Your Honor pointed out, he met up with girls and had significant relationships.

By his own admissions, he was on Website A nearly every day, so his -- the scope of his interaction with the girls and with the website was extraordinary.

Last thing I'll say about his collection of child pornography, jumping back to that, was that the content itself was not limited to the victims in this case undressing or masturbating on camera. Instead, it — it was sort of more wide ranging. It had child pornography involving infants and toddlers, graphic videos, bestiality videos, even cutting videos. So the content structure and size of Mr. Ortega's child pornography collection helped make him stand apart from the others.

I would note as to the history and characteristics of this defendant, Your Honor, like the others, he has no criminal history. But like every one of those defendants when we say about every defendant today and the ones the Court will hear tomorrow, the idea that they have no criminal history is — is sort of a false equivalency. This is not the kind of case where an individual committed a crime one time or a couple of

```
times. These men were committing crimes every single day, and for Mr. Ortega it was every single day between 2010 and 2017. So while they've never met the criminal justice system before, they are not people who've made one or two terrible decisions that were criminal. These men were making criminal decisions every day.
```

He is from a good home. He's very smart as the Court saw today based on his very thoughtful statement. And again, like the others, he doesn't have a master's degree like some of the others do, but he did spend a year at a university, does have an associate's degree, and he used all of that education indication to manipulate girls.

Lastly, Your Honor, I just want to talk for just a brief second about deterrence. Your Honor has mentioned deterrence in -- in virtually every sentencing thus far, and I think it's important in a case like this. And specifically I know that the part of the defense argument is that while deterrence is more -- speaks more to the certainty of imprisonment rather than the length of time, I think in a case like this those who would prey on our children need to know that their sentence will be measured in decades, not in years. And so I think deterrence is very important in a case like this.

All that being said, especially given Mr. Ortega's unique actions in the case, it puts him right at the top, and

```
so the government's recommendation has been 50 years for Mr.
 1
 2
     Ortega.
              Thank you.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks a lot.
 3
              MR. MULCAHY: And I should say, Your Honor, one more
 4
             That the amount of restitution Your Honor asked me to
 5
 6
     speak on --
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
              MR. MULCAHY: -- is $110,000 for Mr. Ortega.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And again, that's $5,000 per
     victim by agreement with Mr. Arnone, correct?
10
11
              MR. ARNONE: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. Okay.
12
     Thank you for that, Mr. Mulcahy, and we -- we will proceed to
13
14
     analyze the 3553(a) factors and state the sentence.
15
              Again, criminality that can hardly be explained, no
16
     convictions whatsoever in Mr. Ortega's background, a steady
     employment history, graduate of high school. The family is
17
18
     quite remarkable. They left Nicaragua in the 80s due to
     political unrest, and Mr. Ortega's father speaking out against
19
     injustice, they went to Panama, and financial conditions there
20
21
     caused them to finally end up in South Florida.
22
              As Mr. Mulcahy noted, Mr. Ortega speaks
23
     extraordinarily well. He has an associate's degree and some
     post-education of that.
24
25
              No physical health issues, no mental health
```

conditions.

He denies a substance abuse history, but I think addiction and chemical issues that motivate this type of crime should be explored in prison.

Those factors would merit a sentence below the guideline range as recognized by the United States and as -- as I believe. It's rare that I've seen one of these cases, and -- and this one and the last one that we were together on, at least the government lawyers and I, that you didn't wish you could -- could start over again without this venture into extreme criminality for which the defendant deserves the most serious sentence. You would think that a background like that would allow Mr. Ortega to really do things to contribute in a very positive way to his community in South Florida.

The sad fact is that Mr. Ortega was a member of an online group for quite some time. By his own admission, he sought out minor females. He engaged them in sexually inappropriate conduct and he indeed, as we heard earlier, destroyed many lives. The victims were asked to do the most unspeakable things on camera or live webcams, which were in some ways recorded, and as Mr. Ortega and Mr. Mulcahy mentioned, were categorized in a very thorough and organized way in his home.

I'm very troubled about the engagement with some of the victims, as anybody would be. That obviously evidences the

```
1
     taking of the behavior to the next level, and while not
     surprising, it is extremely alarming. And I think an
 2
     appropriate sentence would prohibit and deter personally that
 3
     sort of conduct so that the victims of this case can go about
 4
     their recovery realizing that they're not going to be harmed by
 5
     Mr. Ortega, and again, throughout our culture I would hope
 6
     would say when we see offers to get involved online with
 7
 8
     inappropriate websites or with minors, we not only click on the
 9
     X, to quote Mr. Ortega, but we -- we call law enforcement as
     well to try to clean this problem up.
10
11
               Therefore, pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of
12
     1984, the Court, having considered the guidelines and factors
     contained in 18 USC, Section 3553(a) that I just went over,
13
     will hereby commit the defendant Odell Ortega to the custody of
14
     the us Bureau of Prisons for a term of 450 months.
15
16
              Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall
     be placed on supervised release for five years.
17
18
              He must pay a special assessment of a hundred dollars
     that will be due immediately, and he must pay a $5,000
19
     assessment for the Justice of Victims Trafficking Act from
20
21
     2015. No interest or penalties on any of these obligations.
               There will be a restitution order in the amount of
22
23
     $110,000 payable to the victims of this offense by stipulation
```

and agreement of counsel. That won't accrue interests or

24

25

penalties as -- either.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Now, while in custody, Mr. Ortega must participate in
the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, IFRP. I'm aware
of the requirements of the program and I approve the payment
schedules of the program and hereby order the defendant's
compliance with it.
         No fine, no -- excuse me -- yeah, no fine, no costs
of incarceration and no costs of supervision due to the
defendant's lack of financial resources to pay the same.
         The defendant -- or excuse me, the mandatory drug
testing condition will be suspended based on the Court's
determination that the defendant poses a low risk of substance
abuse, but I do recommend screening and treatment for alcohol
addiction while in jail or, excuse me, federal prison.
         One of the lines -- I'm sure the Bureau of Prisons
will pick up on this. One of the lines I saw in there indicate
that -- as well as the dialogue we've had here today --
indicate that not alcohol addiction, but chemical dependency on
pornography and things of this nature, Sex Anonymous, those
types of programs after screening may very well be appropriate
for this particular defendant.
         Strike what I said about drinking. That -- that was
a misstatement by the Court.
         Now, prior to his arrest, Mr. Ortega lived in the
```

Southern District of Florida, and we will transfer his term of

supervised release from here to there for his -- for the

```
duration of his supervision. While on supervision therefore,
 1
 2
     Mr. Ortega must abide by the standard conditions adopted by the
     U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida.
 3
              Due to the nature of the instant offense, I will
 4
     impose all of the same special conditions verbatim that I put
 5
     on Mr. Maire earlier in the proceeding and which I said I would
 6
     not repeat, and that would include the three special conditions
 7
 8
     regarding financial accountability and restitution.
 9
              And that will be the sentence of the Court.
     there any objections that you'd like to make, Mr. Mulcahy?
10
11
              MR. MULCAHY: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Arnone, any objections?
12
              MR. ARNONE: No, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you both very
14
15
     much.
16
              Then the sentence that I just stated, without
     objection, will be imposed.
17
18
              Mr. Ortega, you have signed a Plea Agreement that
     contains the waiver of the right to appeal your sentence. You
19
20
     certainly may waive that right as part of your Plea Agreement.
21
     If you do as you have here sign such a waiver, those are
     enforceable. And if you don't think yours is valid and wish to
22
23
     go to the Court of Appeals, you have to do that directly.
24
               The defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
     marshal for the completion of his sentence.
25
```

```
Both parties have copies of the Pre-Sentence Report.
 1
 2
     Keep those strictly confidential and we'll send complete copies
     to the Bureau of Prisons and the Sentencing Commission.
 3
              Anything else from the United States?
 4
              MR. MULCAHY: Yes, Your Honor. The government moves
 5
     to dismiss the remaining counts in the indictment as to this
 6
     defendant.
 7
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. All remaining counts as to Mr.
 9
     Ortega are dismissed and will be adjudged so in the judgment
     and commitment order for the Court's recordation of the
10
11
     proceedings.
12
              Anything else from Mr. Arnone?
              MR. ARNONE: No, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your hard work, both
14
15
     counsel, on the case. Good luck, Mr. Ortega.
16
              MR. ARNONE: Thank you, Judge.
                          Okay. All right. It looks like we'll be
17
              THE COURT:
18
     in recess for a few minutes and we'll try to get our remaining
     defendant up here, okay? All right.
19
               THE CLERK: All rise. Court's now in recess.
20
21
               (Proceedings concluded at 4:07 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 3 appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States 4 Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 5 through 24 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the 6 7 proceedings held in the matter of United States of America vs. 8 D-5 Odell Ortega, Case No. 18-20128, on Friday, May 11, 2018. 9 10 s/Linda M. Cavanagh 11 Linda M. Cavanagh, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Official Court Reporter 12 United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan 13 14 15 Date: October 25, 2019 16 Detroit, Michigan 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25